



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

#### ZNZ MD/PhD Neuroscience Course, Module BIO628 Thu 01.06.2017 Data analysis and presentations: Examples from basic statistics

David P. Wolfer MD

Institute of Anatomy, University of Zurich Institute for Human Movement Sciences and Sport, ETH Zurich http://www.dpwolfer.ch davidp.wolfer@.uzh.ch, dwolfer@ethz.ch

### MOUSE BEHAVIORAL TESTING



fourth edition

## BIOSTATISTICS

THE BARE ESSENTIALS

# 

Wahlsten D Mouse Behavioral Testing Academic Press, 1. edition, 2011 Norman GR, Streiner DL Biostatistics, the bare essentials BC Decker, 4. edition, 2014

#### Sampling



#### Mean, variance and standard deviation



#### Effect size

estimated true effect size, effect size, population sample  $d = \Delta M/S_{pooled}$  $\delta = \Delta \mu / \sigma$ t-test 0.2 = small0.5 = medium0.8 = large $\sigma^2$  between groups  $S^2$  between groups  $\omega^2 = -$ ANOVA  $\eta^2 = -$ S<sup>2</sup> total  $\sigma^2$  total 5% = small20% = large

#### Hypothesis testing 1



#### Sample size for t-test

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	GH	1	J	К	L	М
1	2 gr	oups: n	& Pow	er to d	etect &	5 > 0 wh	en Null is	δ=0				
2	Using Wahlsten's (1991) eqn (5) $n = 2C_{\alpha,\beta}/\delta^2 + 2$											
3					δ =	1.000			N	ote: δ =	(μ <sub>1</sub> - μ <sub>2</sub> )	/σ
4	For one-tailed test of null hypothesis using t test General result											
5	Type I error (α)	Type II error (β)	Power (%)	Z <sub>α</sub> 1-tail	Ζ <sub>1-β</sub>	<b>2C</b> <sub>α,β</sub>	n per group	Rounded up			To do calculatio size fror	a quick in of effect m means:
6	0.05	0.05	95	-1.645	1.645	21.644	23.644	24			μ1=	15.000
7	0.05	0.1	90	-1.645	1.282	17.128	19.128	20			μ <sub>2 =</sub>	20.000
8	0.05	0.2	80	-1.645	0.842	12.365	14.365	15			σ=	4.500
9	0.01	0.05	95	-2.326	1.645	31.541	33.541	34			δ =	-1.111
10	0.01	0.1	90	-2.326	1.282	26.034	28.034	29				
11	0.01	0.2	80	-2.326	0.842	20.072	22.072	23				
12	0.001	0.05	95	-3.090	1.645	44.842	46.842	47				
13	0.001	0.1	90	-3.090	1.282	38,225	40,225	41				
14	0.001	0.2	80	-3.090	0.842	30.919	32,919	33				
15												
16	For tw	For two-tailed test of null hypothesis using t test General result										
17	Type I error (α)	Type II error (β)	Power (%)	Z <sub>α/2</sub> 2- tail	Ζ <sub>1-β</sub>	<b>2C</b> <sub>α,β</sub>	n per group	Rounded up				
18	0.05	0.05	95	-1.960	1.645	25.989	27.989	28				
19	0.05	0.1	90	-1.960	1.282	21.015	23.015	24				
20	0.05	0.2	80	-1.960	0.842	15.698	17.698	18				
21	0.01	0.05	95	-2.576	1.645	35.628	37.628	38				
22	0.01	0.1	90	-2.576	1.282	29.759	31.759	32				
23	0.01	0.2	80	-2.576	0.842	23.358	25.358	26				
24	0.001	0.05	95	-3.291	1.645	48.716	50.716	51				
25	0.001	0.1	90	-3.291	1.282	41.808	43,808	44				
26	0.001	0.2	80	-3.291	0.842	34,149	36,149	37				
20	0.001	0.2	00	5.251	0.042	34.145	50.145					

#### 3 bad consequences of low power



high probability to miss true effects



tendency to overestimate effect size (selection effect)



lower positive predictive value

Nat Rev Neurosci 14:365, 2013 Power failure: why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience

#### Hypothesis testing 2



#### 4 caveats regarding the interpretation of a



a is not a measure of effect size.



If a is larger than the rejection threshold, this is no prof that Ho is true. Under low power conditions, negative test results are inconclusive! special statistics are needed to demonstrate equivalence.



a does not tell us how likely it is that the effect is real if the test tells us to reject Ho. For this we need the positive predictive value (PPV).



a is only valid under the assumption that only one test is done to test Ho: with N tests true type-I error probability =  $1-(1-a)^{N}$ Example: 2 Tests at 5%  $1-0.95^{2} = 9.8\%$ 

Nat Rev Neurosci 14:365, 2013 Power failure: why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience Nature 506:150,2014 *Statistical errors*